

Cournot competition with n asymmetric firms¹

1. **Cournot competition with n firms facing asymmetric costs.** Consider an industry of $n \geq 2$ firms competing a la Cournot. Firms face an inverse demand curve $p(Q) = a - Q$, where $Q \geq 0$ denotes aggregate output. Every firm i has a marginal cost of production c_i , where $a > c_i \geq 0$.

(a) Set up firm i 's profit-maximization problem and find its first-order condition.

- Every firm i chooses its output q_i to solve

$$\max_{q_i \geq 0} [a - (q_i + Q_{-i})] q_i - c_i q_i$$

where Q_{-i} denotes the aggregate output of firm i 's rivals. Differentiating with respect to q_i , yields

$$a - 2q_i - Q_{-i} - c_i = 0$$

which we can rearrange as

$$a - c_i = 2q_i + Q_{-i}.$$

(b) Find equilibrium output. [*Hint*: Invoke symmetry in the first-order condition that you found in part (a). Then sum over all n firms.]

- In a symmetric equilibrium, we have that $q_i = q_j = q$, which entails $Q_{-i} = (n - 1)q$. Inserting this result into the above first-order condition, we obtain

$$a - c_i = 2q + (n - 1)q$$

Summing over the first-order conditions of all n firms, yields

$$na - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n q + (n - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n q$$

Denoting, for compactness, $C = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i$ for the aggregate costs, and $\sum_{i=1}^n q = Q$ for aggregate output, the above expression becomes

$$na - C = (n + 1)Q$$

which yields an aggregate output in equilibrium of

$$Q = \frac{na - C}{n + 1}$$

¹Felix Munoz-Garcia, Associate Professor in Economics, Address: 103H Hulbert Hall, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164, USA. E-mail: fmunoz@wsu.edu.

- Therefore, the equilibrium of each individual firm is

$$q_i = \frac{Q}{n} = \frac{na - C}{n(n+1)},$$

and equilibrium profits are

$$\pi_i = \left(a - c_i - \frac{na - C}{n+1} \right) \frac{na - C}{n(n+1)}$$

- (c) *First example.* Consider a setting with $n = 2$ firms (firm 1 and 2) facing inverse demand function $p(Q) = 1 - Q$, and marginal production costs c_1 and c_2 , where $1 > c_i \geq 0$ for every firm $i = \{1, 2\}$. Evaluate your results from part (b) to find the equilibrium output for each firm, aggregate output, and profits. Then evaluate your results in the case that marginal production costs coincide, $c_1 = c_2 = c$, where $1 > c \geq 0$.

- *Asymmetric costs, $c_1 \neq c_2$.* In this context, the sum of marginal costs costs is $C = c_1 + c_2$, and demand parameters are $a = b = 1$. Therefore, aggregate output becomes

$$Q = \frac{2 - (c_1 + c_2)}{2 + 1} = \frac{2 - (c_1 + c_2)}{3}$$

individual output is

$$q_i = \frac{Q}{2} = \frac{2 - (c_1 + c_2)}{6}$$

and profits become

$$\pi_i = \frac{1 + c_j - 2c_i}{3} \frac{2 - (c_1 + c_2)}{6}$$

- *Symmetric costs, $c_1 = c_2 = c$.* In this setting, the above results become

$$Q = \frac{2(1 - c)}{3}$$

individual output is

$$q_i = \frac{Q}{2} = \frac{1 - c}{3}$$

and profits become

$$\pi_i = \frac{(1 - c)^2}{9}$$

- (d) *Second example.* Consider a setting with $n \geq 2$ firms facing inverse demand function $p(Q) = 1 - Q$, and symmetric marginal production cost c , where $1 > c \geq 0$. Assuming that k firms merge, benefiting from a lower marginal cost $c - x$, while the $n - k$ unmerged firms still face marginal cost c . Find the aggregate output in equilibrium when k firms merge, and compare it against aggregate output before the merger. For which parameter values the merger produces an increase in aggregate output?

- *Before the merger.* With n firms in the industry, all facing marginal cost c , the sum of marginal costs is $C = nc$. Therefore, expression $Q = \frac{na-C}{n+1}$, we can then write aggregate output in this setting as

$$Q^{NM} = \frac{n - nc}{n + 1} = \frac{n(1 - c)}{n + 1}$$

since $a = 1$, where superscript NM denotes “no merger.”

- *After the merger.* If k out of n firms merge, leaving $n - k$ firms unmerged, then there are $(n - k) + 1$ firms in the industry. In this context, the sum of marginal costs is

$$C = \underbrace{(c - x)}_{\text{Merged firm}} + \underbrace{(n - k)c}_{\text{Unmerged firms}} = (n - k + 1)c - x.$$

Using expression $Q = \frac{na-C}{n+1}$, we can then write aggregate output in this setting as

$$\begin{aligned} Q^M &= \frac{[(n - k) + 1] - [(n - k + 1)c - x]}{[(n - k) + 1] + 1} \\ &= \frac{(n - k + 1)(1 - c) + x}{n - k + 2} \end{aligned}$$

since $a = 1$, where superscript M denotes “merger.”

- *Output comparison.* Aggregate output after the merger increases if $Q^M \geq Q^{NM}$, which entails

$$\frac{(n - k + 1)(1 - c) + x}{n - k + 2} \geq \frac{n(1 - c)}{n + 1}.$$

Rearranging, we obtain

$$\theta \equiv \frac{x}{1 - c} \geq \frac{k + 1}{n + 1}.$$

Intuitively, the merger increases aggregate output (and, as a consequence, consumer surplus) if the cost-reduction effect relative to firms’ margin (left-hand side, θ) is sufficiently large.

As an illustration, we can fix the total number of firms at $n = 10$, and evaluate cutoff $\frac{k+1}{n+1}$ at $k = 2$, obtaining that

$$\frac{2 + 1}{10 + 1} = 0.27.$$

Intuitively, the cost-reduction effect, relative to per-unit margins (as measured by θ), must be larger than 27% for the merger to increase consumer surplus. Mergers between more firms (higher k) produce an even larger ratio $\frac{k+1}{n+1}$, thus increasing the minimum cost-reduction effect, θ , required for the merger to increase consumer surplus.